

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/835,261		04/12/2001	Hans-Michael Kuhl	22750/405A	5004	
26646	7590	08/27/2003				
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				DICUS, T	DICUS, TAMRA	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774	11	
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\triangle$ AS-	_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/835,261	KUHL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover st	eet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minimu od will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 May 2003					
	<del>.s may 2005</del> . This action is non-final					
<b>,-</b>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	er <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to the ments is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved I	o) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, <u>-</u>					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit.</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language part of the foreign language	provisional application	has been received.				
Attachment(s)	- Firenity and or co					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	_			

Application/Control Number: 09/835,261

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,017,586 to Payn et al.
- 3. Payn shows a cross-linkable floor covering comprising copolymers of ethylene and alpha olefins with 10 or more carbon atoms (col. 15, lines 44-55). Payn further shows colored inorganic particles (col. 10, lines 54-67). Payn shows an elongation of at least 10% (col. 17-col. 18).
- 4. Payn does not show the specific thickness, width, percentages by weight of the copolymer and comonomer, and the melt-flow index as in instant claims 1 and 2. However, such ranges and percentages of weight are properties which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. With regard to the limitation of the ranges and percentages of weight, absent a showing of unexpected results, it is obvious to modify the conditions of a composition because they are merely the result of routine experimentation. The experimental modification of prior art in order to optimize operation conditions (e.g. ranges and percentages of weight) fails to render claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. See col. 7, lines 37-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,261

Art Unit: 1774

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-29-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant points to the 3 to 20 wt% of ethylene copolymer limitation in the instant claim 1, claiming the prior art teaches a range beyond the scope. However, that Payn does not teach the range of Applicant is an obvious modification. Such ranges and percentages of weight are properties which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is (703) 305-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-8329 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

August 19, 2003

Tamra L. Dicus

Examiner

Art Unit 1774

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3